



Feedback Form

Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen

101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC, V2A-5J9

Tel: 250-492-0237 / Email: planning@rdos.bc.ca

TO: Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen **FILE NO.:** E2024.014-DVP

FROM: Name: Peter Zimmerman
(please print)

Street Address: _____

Street Address: Naramata BC

**RE: Development Variance Permit (DVP) Application
2543 Kettle Ridge Way, Electoral Area "E"**

My comments / concerns are:

- I do support the proposed variances at **2543 Kettle Ridge Way**.
- I do not support the proposed variances at **2543 Kettle Ridge Way**.

Written submissions will be included in the application file and, if the application proceeds to a Regional District Board meeting, be included in an upcoming Board meeting agenda.

Set back requirements are designed to help protect the home owner's property and neighbours' properties from potential problems such as an oversize building that encroaches on the property line.

Other building restrictions are intended to help ensure home security, privacy issues especially in homeowners' intent in having a rental unit as part of their home, and a uniform appearance to ensure each home owner's value is maintained.

Typically, variances are given for an unforeseen condition that is negatively affecting your property and generally unique to the property.

The building lots on Kettle Ridge Way have specific building requirements and set back rules.

The current variance being requested due to cost overruns for the residential home does not typically meet the variance requirements of an unforeseen condition unique to the property.

It appears that the house design was deliberate and did not consider the restrictions/setback requirements when initiating the building of the property. The owner merely wanted to maximize the property features and design regardless of the potential impact to neighbours.

These houses are multi-million dollar investments and many planning hours go into the architectural design and engineering planning processes. It is surprising that this variance issue/requirement (and I suspect others) was not identified earlier. Many builders/owners feel it is their right to build first and ask forgiveness later. This kind of attitude defeats the true variance process.

Feedback Forms must be submitted to the RDOS office by **April 26**. All representations will be made public if and when they are included in the Board Agenda.

Protecting your personal information is an obligation the Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen takes seriously. Our practices have been designed to ensure compliance with the privacy provisions of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* (British Columbia) ("FIPPA"). Any personal or proprietary information you provide to us is collected, used and disclosed in accordance with FIPPA. Should you have any questions about the collection, use or disclosure of this information please contact: Manager of Legislative Services, RDOS, 101 Martin Street, Penticton, BC V2A 5J9, 250-492-0237.

Lauri Feindell

From: jim lamont
Sent: April 25, 2024 7:45 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Variance Permit at 2543 Kettle Ridge Way

Some people who received this message don't often get email from ...

[Learn why this is important](#)

Planning Dept,

Good morning . I am the owner of

I am very concerned about this application No E2024.014-TUP.

I am concerned that the proposal of going beyond the side yard setbacks will directly affect my property. I have designed a building that stays within the setbacks and does not take up nearly as much lot coverage. I had to add a second floor to my plan to attain this.

I respect the rules that are in place and therefore reduced the size of my garage to accommodate those rules.

I do feel that this plan from my perspective will be too close to my property line, therefore affecting my view as well as amount of room between the two homes as well as from a point of view standpoint.

We paid a great amount of money for these properties not to see the homes squeezed within one another too close.

I have not analyzed the specific details as of yet but this is my concern from the drawing presented to me.

Regards

James Lamont